



CliftonLarsonAllen

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO THE PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGE PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

Board of Directors
Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority
Mesa, Arizona

Report on Compliance

We have audited Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority (PMGAA) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies*, issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (Guide), for its passenger facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on PMGAA's compliance based on our audit.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to its passenger facility program.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about PMGAA's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the passenger facility charge program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of PMGAA's compliance.

Opinion on Compliance with Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies

In our opinion, PMGAA complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of PMGAA is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws and regulations of the passenger facility charge program. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered PMGAA's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the passenger facility charge program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of PMGAA's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charges on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charges will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the passenger facility charges that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the result of that testing based on the requirements of the Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Passenger Facility Charges

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities of PMGAA as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise PMGAA's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated October 9, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of passenger facility charges is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the *Passenger Facility Charge Audit Guide for Public Agencies* and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of passenger facility charges is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Phoenix, Arizona
October 9, 2015

**PHOENIX-MESA GATEWAY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
SCHEDULE OF PASSENGER FACILITY PROGRAM
FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS

None.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR FINDINGS

None.

**PHOENIX-MESA GATEWAY AIRPORT AUTHORITY
 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGES
 FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015**

	<u>September 30</u>	<u>December 31</u>	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>TOTALS</u>
08-01-C-00-IWA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
11-02-C-00-IWA	-	2,607	1,303	-	3,910
13-03-C-00-IWA	<u>30,729</u>	<u>110,004</u>	<u>50,772</u>	<u>73,646</u>	<u>265,151</u>
Total	<u>\$ 30,729</u>	<u>\$ 112,611</u>	<u>\$ 52,075</u>	<u>\$ 73,646</u>	<u>\$ 269,061</u>