

Solicitation Number: 2021-016-RFQ Addendum Number: 2

Gateway East, Master Developer

Due Date and Time: March 22, 2021 by 1:59 PM (MST)

The following questions were received by the deadline:

Q1 - Section 2 under Tab C is asking for information on subcontractors that we are proposing to use for the potential scope of work under the future RFP. We have had a number of companies reach out and want to team up. However, we have been hesitant to commit to specific companies. Do we need to assemble the "full team" at this time or is it acceptable to indicate who we have worked with in the past and that we would select the eventual team members as we get further along with the process?

A1 - The Statement of Qualifications submitted should demonstrate the Offeror's qualifications and experience in the areas outlined in the RFQ. If the Offeror can demonstrate they have the full depth of experience and any specialized expertise required by the RFQ, they would not have to include any subcontractors.

Q2 - "SOQ" is defined in Title 34 and Title 41 for construction procurements. Does this RFQ require that a proposing entity be licensed with the Arizona Registrar of Contractors?

A2 - No, the RFQ does not require the team to be a licensed contractor, however, work under the Future RFP would require the entity performing any construction work be licensed.

Q3 - Page 18, Section 1, Paragraph 8 states that the proposing company should list their total amount of square footage delivered, by building type, for the past three years. In the event where the proposing company will not joint venture with another entity, but has chosen to identify one or more subcontractors as key players on their "team", should the proposing company also include the requested information from paragraph 8 for each subcontractor they are "teaming" with on this project as part of their RFQ proposal?

A3 - Page 18, Section 1, Paragraph 8 is specific to the Offeror Organization. As such, the amount of building square footage delivered by product type should be specific to only the Offeror Organization.

Q4 - In Section 2, titled “Subcontracting Entities”, should Architects, Engineers, General Contractors, and Brokers be considered “subcontractors”? It is assumed that subcontractors to general contractors do not need to be disclosed at this time.

A4 - It’s anticipated that an Offeror Organization may choose to team with several Subcontracting Entities to demonstrate competency in the items listed in the Proposed Scope of Work. Architects, Engineers, General Contractors, Brokers, and other team members that are critical to demonstrate competency with items listed in the Proposed Scope of Work should be listed as Subcontracting Entities. Specific tradesman and/or suppliers should not be considered Subcontracting Entities.

ALL OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION SHALL REMAIN IN THEIR ENTIRETY.

The above referenced Solicitation Addendum is hereby executed March 4, 2021 at
Phoenix Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, Mesa, Arizona.

Marian Whilden

Procurement Coordinator
Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority